

Summary of Changes from ASP document submitted under IREF Application 2022-03

Since the Foothills Crossing ASP was originally submitted to the CMRB for approval under the Interim Growth Plan (IGP), there were minor changes that needed to be made in order to submit under the Growth Plan.

Since the previous submission, the County retained K2 Engineering to provide a servicing strategy report in support of the plan so references to this work were included in the servicing section of the ASP. Further changes were also required to reflect that the Minister of Municipal Affairs had approved the Growth Plan. Following is a summary of the changes that have been made to the version of the Foothills Crossing ASP document that was submitted under IREF Application 2022-03:

Table of Contents:

- Slight changes were made to section names and page numbers to reflect changes that were made in the document.
- Added Water and Sanitary Servicing Strategy by K2 Engineering to the list of supporting studies available under separate cover.

Section 1.1

- Changed “will provide policy to guide...” to “will provide a policy framework to guide....”

Section 2.3

- Changed title from Environmental Considerations to Environmentally Sensitive Areas (ESA) to better align with Growth Plan (GP) requirements.
- Added some discussion regarding the ESA section of the Stormwater Engineering Report and added *Figure 5* which illustrates the wetlands on site and within 100m (as required by the GP). Renumbered remaining figures throughout the document to accommodate the additional figure.

Section 3.2

- Removed references to Interim Growth Plan (IGP) and to GP not yet being approved.
- Added descriptions of what the Growth Plan, Servicing Plan and Regional Evaluation Framework (REF) are.
- Added the approval date and effective date of the GP.
- Indicated that the ASP was developed in consideration the GP.

Section 3.3.3

- Added “significant” in front of municipal investment

Section 6.1

- Refined first and second paragraphs to reflect the results of the Water and Sanitary Servicing Strategy undertaken by K2 Engineering.
- Indicated the intent to provide water and wastewater servicing from the future Aldersyde system.
- Referenced the Servicing Strategy description of interim servicing options.

- Changed “As Foothills County explores piped servicing options...” to “As Foothills County undertakes more detailed design for piped servicing...”
- Policy 6.1.1.1 – changed to reflect that a servicing strategy has been chosen.
- Policy 6.1.1.3 – changed “should” to “shall”.

Section 6.6

- Indicated that providing water and wastewater servicing from the future Aldersyde system is feasible based on the Servicing Study, and is the most likely scenario.

Section 7.1

- Referenced additions in Section 2.3.
- Changed “potential for bird habitat...” to “sensitive species ranges that overlap the study area...” to agree with the wording used in the Stormwater Engineering Report.
- Specify that prior to non-agricultural development that Environmental Site Assessments and Biological Assessments may be required (so that applications for agricultural uses would not trigger the requirement).
- Added Policy 7.1.1.3 to provide an understanding of the requirements under the provincial acts due to the sensitive species ranges – with the number of potential wetlands that may require assessment this work will be required in portions of the plan area.

Section 8.4

- Removed references to IGP
- Refined the wording in Section 8.4.2 for clarity – does not materially change the meaning.